AO Publishing

2006/1

"A classification is useful only if it considers the severity of the bone lesion and serves as a basis for treatment and for evaluation of the results. Maurice E. Müller, 1988

Müller AO Classification of Fractures

Long Bones

This leaflet is designed to provide an accessible and straightforward introduction to making an initial classification of long-bone fractures. For more detailed treatment of the subject it is advisable to consult the references from the attached list.

Hazards

ublishing

Hazards Great care has been taken to maintain the accuracy of the information contained in this work. However, neither AO and/or a distributor and/or the authors of this work can be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of the information contained in this work. Contributions published under the name of individual authors are statements and opinions solely of said authors and not of AO.

and not of AO. The products, procedures, and therapies described in this work are hazardous and are therefore only to be applied by certified and trained medical professionals in environments specially designed for such procedures. No suggested test or procedure should be carried out unless, in the user's professional judgment, its risk is justified. Whoever applies products, procedures, and therapies shown or described in this work will do this at his/her own risk. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, AO recommends that independent verification of diagnosis, therapies, drugs, dosages, and operation methods should be made before any action is taken. Although all advertising material which may be inserted into the work is expected to conform to ethical (medical) standards, inclusion in this work does not constitute a guarantee or endorsement of the quality or value of such product or of the claims made of it by its manufacturer.

Legal restrictions

Legal restrictions This work was produced by AO Publishing and AO International, Davos, Switzerland. All rights reserved by AO Publishing. This work contains works protected by copyright, trademark, and other laws. Prohibited are in particular any commercial use as well as any copying of the work. It is prohibited to make this work or any parts thereof available on any Intranet or on the Internet or to create derivative works based on the works contained in this work. Restrictions on use: The rightful owner of an authorized copy of this work may use it for educational and research purposes only. Single images or illustrations may be copied for research or educational purposes only. The images or illustrations may not be altered in any way and need to carry the following statement of origin "Copyright by AO Publishing, Switzerland". Some names, instruments, treatments, logos, designs, etc. referred to in this work are also protected by patents and trademarks or by other intellectual property protection laws (eg, "AO", "ASIF", "AO/ASIF", "TRIANCE/CIOBE Logo" are registered trademarks) even though specific reference to this fact is not always made in the work. Therefore, the appearance of a name, instrument, etc. without designation as proprietary is not to be construed as a representation by AO that it is in the public domain.

Müller AO Classification of Fractures

The concept

When the long-bone classification was first published [1] the observer was offered a choice from a progressive sequence of 3 options, relative to each of three bone segments, to establish the TYPE, GROUP, and SUBGRUOP of the fracture. Müller et al. have since introduced the binary concept, where each question has two alternative answers. Thus, while retaining the triad-based concept, it is ultimately possible to arrive precisely at one of two conclusions, either the correct diagnosis or the realization that more information is needed [2, 3, 4].

The Müller concept goes beyond the mere production of an alpha-numeric coding, valuable though that is in the acquisition, storage, and retrieval of data; what distinguishes Müller's system is that one is required to recognize, identify, and describe the injury to the bone [5].

The discipline of the alpha-numeric notation serves to guide the assessor of the fracture to whatever depth the situation requires and afterwards to record and store his observations. However, it is the surgeon's description of the fracture which is needed to permit good decision making in the light of the structured observations required by the classification protocol, and as well as to generate the code.

The principle

The fractures of each bone segment (see coding), according to their morphological characteristics, are divided into: types, groups, and subgroups.

Which type?... Which group?... Which subgroup?...

These three questions and the three (two with the binary system) possible answers to each are the key to the classification [6].

The scheme of the morphological characterization of the fractures:



The classification of the fractures is organized in order of **increasing severity**, according to the morphological complexity, the difficulty of treatment, and the prognosis. The colors green, orange, and red, as well as the darkening of arrows, indicate the increasing severity. A1 indicates the simplest fracture with the best prognosis and C3 the most difficult with the worst prognosis. When one has classified the fracture group, one has established its severity and thus obtained a guide to treatment. The subgroups represent three characteristic variations within the group.

Coding of the diagnosis

To code the diagnosis of a fracture one must know its location and its morphology.

Location: <i>this is</i> which bone? which segment?	1 humerus	2 radius/ulna	3 femur 3 distal	4 tibia/fibula 4 malleolar
The segments of	f the long bo	nes:		~



The **proximal** and **distal segments** of long bones are defined by a square whose sides are of the same length as the widest part of the epiphysis. Exceptions: proximal humerus (11), proximal femur (31), and malleolar fractures (44)—q. v.

Before a fracture can be assigned to a segment, one must first determine its center. In a simple fracture, the center of the fracture is obvious. In a wedge fracture, the center is the broadest part of the wedge. In a complex fracture, the center can only be determined after reduction.

Any fracture associated with a displaced articular component is an articular fracture. If the fracture is associated only with an undisplaced fissure which reaches the joint, it is classified as metaphyseal or diaphyseal depending on where its center is.

For **coding**, the alpha-numeric format is used to conform with computing practice. The key which unlocks this fracture classification is accurate description. Each bone or bone region is numbered and the long bones are each divided into three segments.

The **3 types** are labelled A, B, and C. Each type is divided into 3 groups: A1, A2, A3 / B1, B2, B3 / C1, C2, C3. Thus, there are **9 groups**. Each group is further subdivided into 3 subgroups, denoted by a number .1, .2, .3. Thus, there are for each segment 27 subgroups.

The morphology

or

All fractures are either simple or multifragmentary.

simple: A term used to characterize a single circumferential disruption of a diaphysis, or metaphysis,

a single disruption of an articular surface. Simple fractures of the diaphysis or metaphysis are spiral, oblique, or transverse.

multifragmentary: A term used to characterize any fracture with one or more completely separated intermediate fragments. In the diaphyseal and metaphyseal segments, it includes the wedge and the complex fractures.

The terms wedge and complex are used only for diaphyseal or metaphyseal fractures.

- wedge: A fracture with one or more intermediate fragments in which, after reduction, there is some contact between the main fragments. The spiral or bending wedge may be intact or fragmented.
- **complex**: A fracture with one or more intermediate fragments in which, after reduction, there is no contact between the main proximal and distal fragments. The complex fractures are spiral, segmented, or irregular.

The term comminuted is imprecise and should not be used.

impacted: A stable and usually simple fracture of the metaphysis or epiphysis in which the fragments are driven into each other.

Specific terms for the proximal and distal segments

Fractures of the proximal and distal segments are either extra-articular or articular.

extra-articular fractures: do not involve the articular surface although they may be intracapsular. They include apophyseal and metaphyseal fractures. Articular fractures involve the articular suface. They are subdivided into partial and complete.

partial articular fractures: involve only part of the articular surface while the rest of that surface remains attached to the diaphysis. Types of partial articular fractures:

- pure split: A fracture, resulting from a shearing force, in which the direction of the split is usually longitudinal.
- pure depression: An articular fracture in which there is depression of the articular surface without a split. The depression may be central or peripheral.
- split-depression: A combination of a split and a depression, in which the joint fragments are usually separated.
- multifragmentary depression: A fracture in which part of the joint is depressed and the fragments are completely separated.

complete articular fractures: The articular surface is disrupted and completely separated from the diaphysis. The severity of these fractures depends on whether their articular and metaphyseal components are simple or multifragmentary.

The system

Alpha-numeric code of the classified diagnosis:

Diagnosis						
v	where? what?					
Bone 1 2 3 4	Segment 1 2 3 4	-	Туре А В С	Group 1 2 3		Subgroup .1 .2 .3
			Group	o A1C3		

The wordings of the captions under the illustrations on the following pages have to be read in the order of the alpha-numeric code.

Example 32-B2.1:

3	2	-	В	2	.1
femur	diaphysis		wedge fracture	bending wedge	subtro- chanteric

The essence

Müller places great emphasis on appreciating the essence of the fracture. This is the attribute which gives the fracture its particular significance and enables it to be assigned to one particular type rather than another. After this comes the process of putting into words what the surgeon understands as prime characteristics of the fracture, the challenges it brings, how it is to be managed, and what outcome may be anticipated with proper treatment [7]. The observer should express in words what he sees on the emergency x-ray and then try to match its features to a basic diagram on the leaflet. This will provide a starting point on the hierarchical path to accurate diagnosis, although for complex variations reference will be needed to the comprehensive texts listed below [8].

References:

- 1. Müller ME, Nazarian S, Koch P (1987) Classification AO des fractures; les os lones
- Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer-Verlag. 2. Rüedi TP, Murphy WM, et al. (2000) AO Principles of Fracture Management.
- Davos: AO publishing & Stuttgart New York: Georg Thieme Verlag.
 Müller ME, Nazarian S, Koch P, et al. (1990) The Comprehensive Classification of Fractures of Long Bones Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer-Verlag
- 4. Müller ME, Allgöwer M, Schneider R, et al. (1991) Manual of Internal Fixation. 3rd ed. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer-Verlag.
- 5. Colton CL (1997) Fracture classification. J Bone Joint Surg [Br]; 79(5):706-707; discussion 708-709.
- Bernstein J, Monaghan BA, Silber JS, et al. (1997) Taxonomy and treatment—a classification of fracture classifications. J Bone Joint Surg [Br]; 79(5):706–707; discussion 708–709.
- 7. Orozco R, Sales JM, Videla M (2000) Atlas of Internal Fixation. Fractures of Long Bones.
- Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer-Verlag.
- 8. Müller ME (1994) CCF Comprehensive Classification of Fractures. Pamphlet I and II. Bern: M.E. Müller Foundation.

AO Publishing	© 2006 by AO Put	olishing Switzerland
Clavadelerstrasse, CH-7270 2 phone: +41 (0)81 414 26 66 publishing@aofoundation.org	y 5	US\$ 5





- 23-A1 ulna, radius intact
- 23-A2 radius, simple and impacted
- 23-A3 radius, multifragmentary

- 23-B1 radius, sagittal
- 23-B2 radius, frontal, dorsal rim
- 23-B3 radius, frontal, volar rim
- 23-C complete articular fracture of radius 23-C1 articular simple, metaphyseal simple
 - 23-C2 articular simple, metaphyseal multifragmentary
- 23-C3 articular multifragmentary



